

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,468	04/19/2004	Kunio Maruyama	72314/JPW/KBC	5213	
7590 02/26/2007 Cooper & Dunham LLP			EXAMINER		
1185 Avenue of the Americas			WEINER, LAURA S		
New York, NY 10036		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	NTHS	02/26/2007	PAP	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		•		1/-		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/828,468	MARUYAMA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Laura S. Weiner	1745			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period cure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat reply received by the Office later than three months after the main and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a road will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			,			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	December 2006.				
2a) <u></u> □	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-10,21 and 22</u> is/are pending id 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6-10, 21-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
. 8) <u>□</u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ents have been received. ents have been received in A	opplication No	ı		
	application from the International Bure	•				
- ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmer	• •	_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/828,468

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6-10, 21-22have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 is rejected because the claim should state "0.3% by mole or less" instead of ".30% by mole or less".

Claim 7 is rejected because it is unclear what is meant by the range "50 to .95% by mole" because 0.95 is less than 50% by mole.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-3, 6-10, 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Aoki et al. (EP 1 113 035).

Art Unit: 1745

Aoki et al. teaches a solid electrolyte comprising a polymer formed of structural units represented by Formula (1) where z represents a residue of a monoethylenically unsaturated compound and m represents a number average addition mols of a branched chain bound to the structural unit, -(RO)-, forming a main chain. Aoki et al. teaches on pages 6-7. Aoki et al. teaches a polymer which is obtained by polymerizing a monomer having an acid group to a compound represented by formula (2) to obtain a polymer (A). The compound of formula (2) which is used as the raw material can be obtained by polymerizing an alkylene oxide such as ethylene oxide or propylene oxide, etc. with an alcohol, alcoholating the resultant polymer and causing the alcoholated polymer to react with an alkyl chloride or an aryl chloride thereby substituting a -OR1 group. The molecular weight may be preferably not more than 20,000. Aoki et al. teaches on page 11, [0065], that another component can be added to the macromolecular solid electrolyte besides the polymer and the lithium salt such as plasticizers such as sulfolane, gamma-butyrolactone, ethylene carbonate, propylene carbonate, dimethyl carbonate, etc. and that the lithium salt is in the range of 5-70 mass%.

In the event any differences can be shown for the product of the product by process claims 1-4, 6-10, 21-22, as opposed to the product taught by Aoki et al., such differences would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a routine modification of the product in the absence of a showing of unexpected results. *In re Thrope 227 USPQ 964; (Fed. Cir. 1985).*

Application/Control Number: 10/828,468

Art Unit: 1745

With respect to the product by process claims 1-4, 6-10, 21-22, the determination of patentability is based upon the product itself not upon the method of its production. In re Thrope 227 USPQ 964; In re Brown 173 USPQ 685; In re Bridgeford 149 USPQ 55; In re Wertheim 191 USPQ 90. Any difference imparted by the product by process limitations would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because where the Examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art, the burden of proof is shifted to the Applicants to establish that their product is patentably distinct. In re Brown 173 USPQ 685 and In re Fessmann 180 USPQ 324.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura S. Weiner whose telephone number is 571-272-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,468

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura S Weiner Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745 Page 5

February 20, 2007